IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JAMES E. LAWSON,

Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-03-2118 RRB PAN P

12 vs.

PAUL SCHMIDT, et al.,

Defendants.

<u>ORDER</u>

Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262.

On March 24, 2006, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein which were served on plaintiff and which contained notice to plaintiff that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty days. Plaintiff has not filed objections to the findings and recommendations.

Although it appears from the file that plaintiff's copy of the findings and recommendations was returned, plaintiff was properly served. It is the plaintiff's responsibility to keep the court apprised of his current address at all times. Pursuant to Local Rule 83-182(f), service of documents at the record address of the party is fully effective.

Case 2:03-cv-02118-RRB-PAN Document 16 Filed 04/24/06 Page 2 of 2

1	The court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be
2	supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY
3	ORDERED that:
4	1. The findings and recommendations filed March 24, 2006, are adopted in full;
5	and
6	2. This action is dismissed for plaintiff's failure to keep the court apprised of his
7	current address. See Local Rules 83-182(f) and 11-110.
8	DATED: - 22, 2006
9	
10	Tak Dustin
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
12	/laws2118.802
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
<u>I</u> I	